IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.72480-M of 2006

DATE OF DECISION:25.1.2007

Manmohan Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh. .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL

Present:- Shri Anil Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Shri Arvind Moudgil, Standing
Counsel for U.T., Chandigarh.

****

Petitioner Manmohan Singh apprehending his arrest in a non-bailable offence in case FIR No. 229 dated 24.10.2006 under Sections 420-120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 31, Chandigarh has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for anticipatory bail.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the contents of the FIR.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that both the petitioner and the complainant, as referred to in the FIR, are property dealers. The dispute in the present case allegedly arises from the violation of an agreement to sell. The FIR, apart from disclosing fictitious numbers, does not disclose the particulars of the plots, which the petitioner is alleged to have agreed to sell to the complainant. It is further contended that both the petitioner and the complainant work in the same office and blank documents, signed by the petitioner, have been misused by the complainant.

Counsel for the petitioner further contends that in view of the

order dated 20.11.2006, the petitioner has joined the investigation. Counsel for the respondent-State does not dispute this fact and further states that the petitioner is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, the interim bail, granted vide order dated 20.11.2006 is made absolute subject to the same terms and conditions.

Disposed of accordingly.

January 25, 2007 **(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)**
pooja **JUDGE**